1	C. DENNIS LOOMIS, Bar No. 82359			
2	BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP			
3	11601 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90025-0509			
4	Telephone: (310) 820-8800			
5	Facsimile: (310) 820-8859			
6	Email: cdloomis@bakerlaw.com			
7	JOHN R. FORNACIARI (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)			
8	BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP 1050 Connecticut Ave., NW, Suite 1100			
	Washington, D.C. 20036			
9	Telephone: (202) 861-1612 Email: jfornaciari@bakerlaw.com			
10	Linan. Jioinaciana bakenaw.com			
11	Attorneys for Defendants Soshin Electric Co., Ltd. and			
12	Soshin Electronics of America Inc.			
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
15	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
16				
17	In RE CAPACITORS ANTITRUST	Master File No. <u>14-cv-03264-JD</u>		
18	LITIGATION,	Hon. James Donato		
19	This document Relates to:	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES OF DEFENDANTS		
20	ALL ACTIONS	SOSHIN ELECTRIC CO., LTD. AND SOSHIN ELECTRONICS OF		
21	TIBE HOTTO	AMERICA INC. IN SUPPORT OF THEIR PHASE II FTAIA		
22		SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION		
23		DATE: March 23, 2017 TIME: 10:00 a.m.		
24		CTRM: 11		
25				
26	Defendants Soshin Electric Co., Lt	d. and Soshin Electronics of America Inc.		
27	(collectively, the "Soshin Defendants") hereby file this Memorandum of Points and			
28	Authorities in support of their Phase II summary judgment motion.			

THE COURT'S AUTHORIZATION FOR THE FILING OF THE INSTANT MOTION

In the Court's Result of Hearing dated July 20, 2016 [Docket No. 1253], the Court anticipated that the Court would soon be issuing its Phase I FTAIA Order resolving the parties' legal disputes about the scope of the FTAIA, and the Court issued the following directions to the parties:

After reviewing the order, the parties are directed to meet and confer about its application to the facts in the case. The goal is for the parties to resolve informally to the fullest possible extent the transactions and defendants that should remain in the case under the Court's FTAIA holdings, and those that should be excluded.

To the extent the parties cannot in good faith agree, defendants should plan to file "Phase II" FTAIA motions. The Court expects these motions will be brought on an individual defendant basis, and they should be limited to presenting the facts that are specific to that defendant. While defendants may make arguments about how the Phase I rulings should be applied to those facts, these Phase II briefs are not an opportunity to argue for a reconsideration of the Phase I legal rulings.

[Result of Hearing dated July 20, 2016 at p. 2].

On September 30, 2016, the Court issued its Phase I FTAIA Order [Docket No. 1302]. Therefore, pursuant to the Court's instructions, the Soshin Defendants sought to have an individual meet and confer with the DPP's about the application of the Court's Phase I FTAIA Order to the facts of the case pertaining to the Soshin Defendants and, hopefully, to resolve the DPP case insofar as it pertains to the Soshin Defendants. [Exhibit A hereto]. Unfortunately, the DPP's did not respond to the Soshin Defendants' request for an individual meet and confer, necessitating the filing of the instant motion.

The DPP's and some of the Defendants have filed a Stipulation and Order pursuant to which the DPP's preserve their right to appeal the Court's Phase I

FTAIA Order but agree that the DPP's will only seek certification of a class limited to purchasers of capacitors that are billed to entities in the U.S., as well as purchasers of capacitors billed to foreign entities but shipped to the U.S. Thus, it appears to Soshin, that the DPP's have conceded that they lack the facts necessary to thread the needle under the Court's Phase I FTAIA Order as to capacitors billed and shipped to foreign entities. However, Soshin has filed the instant motion to present to the Court the facts specific to the Soshin Defendants establishing that summary judgment should be entered in their favor against the DPP action in its entirety.

THE FACTS SPECIFIC TO THE SOSHIN DEFENDANTS

The Defendant Soshin Electric Co., Ltd. ("Soshin Japan") is a Japanese corporation headquartered in Tokyo and is a manufacturer and seller of a wide range of electronic products including stand-alone film capacitors. [Miyakawa Decl. ¶¶ 3, 5]. From 2002 to 2014, Soshin Japan only manufactured stand-alone film capacitors at a single manufacturing plant located in Miyazaki, Japan at which other electrical products were manufactured as well [Miyakawa Decl. ¶ 5]; and during this time period Soshin Japan sold no stand-alone film capacitors which were billed or shipped to entities in the United States. [Miyakawa Decl. ¶ 5]. The Defendant Soshin Electronics of America Inc. ("Soshin America") is a whollyowned U.S. subsidiary of Soshin Japan which sells electronic products manufactured abroad by Soshin Japan in the United States. [Miyakawa Dec. ¶ 4]. During 2002 to 2014, Soshin America had only 2 to 3 employees and did not sell, deliver, or bill any stand-alone film capacitors to entities in the United States or anywhere else in the world. [Miyakawa Decl. ¶ 6].

¹ Citations to the "Miyakawa Decl." are to the Declaration of Makoto Miyakawa submitted herewith. Mr. Miyakawa is the Manager of the Planning Department in the Sales & Marketing Division of Soshin Japan and has been employed at Soshin Japan for 31 years.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Since Soshin Japan is the only one of the two Soshin Defendants that
manufactured, sold and delivered stand-alone film capacitors, the remainder of
these facts focus on Soshin Japan's stand-alone film capacitor business and only
incidentally upon Soshin America. These facts detail the nature and scope of
Soshin Japan's stand-alone film capacitor business. However, the salient fact is
that, from 2002-2014, Soshin Japan sold no stand-alone film capacitors that were
billed or shipped to entities in the United States. [Miyakawa Decl. ¶ 5].

Stand-alone film capacitors account for less than 10% of Soshin Japan's total sales of all products. [Miyakawa Decl. ¶ 7]. Stand-alone film capacitors are sold world-wide and Soshin Japan's world-wide market share for stand-alone capacitors is only approximately .045%. [Miyakawa Decl. ¶ 9]. Soshin Japan's sales and deliveries of stand-alone film capacitors are almost exclusively to entities in Japan. Soshin Japan's sales data establishes that, from 2001 to 2014, Soshin Japan's global sales revenue for stand-alone film capacitors was approximately \mathbb{Y} 9.1 billion, of which ¥ 9.08 billion, or 99.8%, was for sales of stand-alone film capacitors to entities in Japan. [Miyakawa Decl. ¶ 10].

Soshin Japan's main customers in Japan are heavy machinery manufacturing companies and manufacturers of railroad switches. [Miyakawa Decl. ¶ 16]. Each of these customers has its own specifications, sizes, voltages and capacitance requirements. [Miyakawa Decl. ¶ 16]. Virtually all of the stand-alone film capacitors sold by Soshin Japan are manufactured by Soshin Japan according to the specifications, voltages, capacitance, shape and design requested by Soshin Japan's customers. [Miyakawa Decl. ¶ 15]. Approximately 90% of these stand-alone film capacitor sales are negotiated bilaterally in Japan between Soshin Japan and its customers in Japan. [Miyakawa Decl. ¶ 14]. Unique customer specifications affect the prices for Soshin Japan's stand-alone film capacitors which are priced in a wide range of between \pm 500 to \pm 10,000 (approximately \$5 to \$100 per unit). [Miyakawa Decl. ¶ 17].

BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

Soshin Japan makes some sales of stand-alone film capacitors to distributors		
in Japan and in other Asian countries. From 2002 to 2014, 6.13% of Soshin Japan's		
sales of stand-alone film capacitors in Japan were to distributors in Japan.		
[Miyakawa Decl. ¶ 13B]. These Japanese distributors either have agreements with		
Soshin Japan to limit their shipment of stand-alone film capacitors to Japan, or in		
fact, limit their shipments of stand-alone film capacitors to end users in Japan or to		
manufacturing plants in other Asian countries owned by Japanese corporations.		
[Miyakawa Decl. ¶¶ 13B]. The distributors in other Asian countries who purchase		
stand-alone film capacitors from Soshin Japan do not sell Soshin Japan's stand-		
alone film capacitors outside of their respective sales territories in Asia.		
[Miyakawa Decl. ¶ 18]. Soshin Japan's direct sales of electronic products to		
entities in the United States has been exclusively to six customers. [Miyakawa		
Decl. ¶ 12]. None of the sales were of stand-alone film capacitors. [Miyakawa		
Decl. ¶ 12].		

As to Soshin America, Soshin America has never employed more than three employees and has never sold stand-alone film capacitors in the United States or elsewhere from 2002 to 2014. [Miyakawa Decl. ¶ 6]. Rather, Soshin America's business in the United States consisted of sales of products such as L/C filters, printed filters, ceramic couplers, multi-layered dielectric filters, and coaxial type dielectric filters. [Miyakawa Decl. ¶ 11].

ARGUMENT

The Court Should Enter Summary Judgment in Favor of the Soshin Defendants Under the Court's Phase I FTAIA Order

In its Phase I FTAIA Order, the Court rendered legal rulings as to the scope and applicability to this action of the FTAIA, 15 U.S.C. § 6a. Of particular importance to the Soshin Defendants, the Phase I FTAIA Order holds that under the FTAIA, the Sherman Act does not encompass claims arising from stand-alone capacitors billed and shipped to foreign entities unless the DPPs proffer facts in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Phase II showing that the DPPs can "get through the eye of a needle" by establishing that the domestic effect in the United States of the alleged DPP conspiracy was the proximate cause of the alleged injuries of foreign purchasers. [Phase I Order at pp. 8-11]. The Court further held that, in attempting to thread this needle, the DPP's could not utilize a global pricing theory. [Id.].

The DPP's have not threaded the needle under the FTAIA vis-à-vis the Soshin Defendants. All of Soshin Japan's sales of stand-alone film capacitors were billed and shipped to entities outside of the United States, and the overwhelming percentage of Soshin Japan's sales of stand-alone film capacitors consists of the sale, billing, and delivery of customized stand-alone film capacitors to entities in Japan. As for Soshin America, it did not bill or ship stand-alone film capacitors to entities in the United States or anywhere else in the world. Since neither Soshin defendant billed or shipped stand-alone film capacitors to entities in the United States, Soshin Japan's stand-alone film capacitors sales had no domestic effect in the United States and, therefore, there was no predicate domestic effect in the United States that could have proximately caused the injuries, if any, to the foreign purchasers outside of the United States. In addition, even positing, arguendo, that, somehow, there was a domestic effect in the United States, it is implausible that the alleged injuries to Soshin Japan's customers in Japan, which constituted an overwhelming 99.8% of Soshin Japan's total stand-alone film capacitor sales, were proximately caused by a domestic effect in the United States as opposed to conduct undertaken in Japan. Under the legal rulings contained in the Court's Phase I FTAIA order, summary judgment should be entered in favor of both Soshin Japan and Soshin America against the DPP action in its entirety.

CONCLUSION

For all of the foregoing reasons, Defendants Soshin Electric Co., Ltd. and Soshin Electronics of America, Inc. respectfully request that the Court grant their

1	Phase II FTAIA summary judgment motion and enter summary judgment in their		
2	favor against the DPP action in its entirety.		
3		Respectfully submitted,	
4	Dated: January 9, 2017	BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP	
5			
6		By: <u>/s/ C. Dennis Loomis</u> C. Dennis Loomis	
7			
8		C. Dennis Loomis, Bar No. 82359 BAKER HOSTETLER LLP	
9		11601 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1400	
10		Los Angeles, CA 90025	
11		Tel: (310) 820-8800 Fax: (310) 820-8859	
		cdloomis@bakerlaw.com	
12			
13		John R. Fornaciari	
14		BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP	
15		1050 Connecticut Ave., N.W., Suite 1100 Washington, D.C. 20036	
16		Tel: (202) 861-1612	
		Fax: (202) 861-1783	
17		jfornaciari@bakerlaw.com	
18		Attorneys for Defendants	
19		Soshin Electric Co., Ltd and Soshin Electronics of America Inc.	
20		Electronics of America inc.	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
20		7	